UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT morandum

TO SAC. DALLAS (100-10461)

FROM Suprv. KENNETH C. HOWE

subject: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka IS - R - CUBA

DATE:

Re telephone call to ASAC CLARK of the Dallas Office from Section Chef W. A. BRANIGAN on 4/2/64. QUESTION 4.

The Dallas Office had not received specific information OSWALD was to proceed to 7313 Davenport, Fort Worth, Texas, after arrival in the United States, prior to the interview of him on 6/26/62. A SA FAIN knew his relatives lived at that address and logically presumed that is where he would go after arrival.

It is noted New York letter to the Bureau and Dallas, 6/26/62, reveals that INS records in New York showed that OSWALD, on arrival, indicated he was destined for 7313 Davenport, Fort Worth. This letter was not received by Dallas until 6/28/62.

WFO airtel to Bureau and Dallas dated 6/6/62 quoted information from State Department that subject planned to deart Moscow on 6/1/62 for Rotterdam where he would board the SS Maasdam, which ship was then scheduled to arrive in New York on 6/6/62. This airtel was received at Dallas on 6/7/62, fand,

- 100-10461

KCH: mac

APR - 2 1964

Sac.

L 100-10461

SA FAIN at the Ft. Worth RA on 6/8/62; however, in both the Fort Worth Press and the Fort Worth Star Telegram on that (9.2.2.2.2)

same date, 6/8/62, there appeared articles on OSWALD showing he was, as of that date, still "en route home." Verifax copies of both these articles were furnished the Bureau under cover of Dallas airtel 12/8/63 to Bureau, Attention Assistant Director GALE. These clippings were sent into Dallas from Ft. Worth by FAIN and were received in the Dallas Office on 6/11/62, showing he had noted them, and, in effect, advising the Dallas Office subject was not yet as of that date back in Ft. Worth.

SA FAIN's first specific advice thereafter, thus, that OSWALD was probably back in Ft. Worth, was his receipt on 6/19/62 of Bureau airtel and New York letter as set out in Dallas teletype to Bureau, 3/30/64, in answer to Question 3. U

A complete review of both Ft. Worth daily papers, the Star Telegram and the Press, currently conducted for the period 6/9/62 through 6/26/62, shows the Star Telegram for 6/9/62 carried an item which was basically the same as the one in the evening issue of 6/8/62, but neither paper thereafter carried anything about OSWALD in the issues checked, as above.

DL 100-10461

OSWALD's return to Ft. Worth through the newspapers. It would seem the newspapers were not aware of his return at the time of the interview on 6/26/62.

From the above it would seem when Mrs. OSWALD blamed harrassment by newspaper reporters for not having advised FAIN of OSWALD's return, she meant she was trying to keep the fact of his return away from the papers because of "harrassment" by reporters prior thereto, and, resultantly failed to even let FAIN know for fear the "harrassment" by newsmen would then again start.

CUESTION 29

SA HOSTY does have recollection of having had mature of content WFO airtel of 11/19/63 imparted to him from the Dallas office while at Dallas Police Department for such value this knowledge might have as background to keep in mind while questioning OSWALD.

SA HOSTY advises he knew from his security experience information from source such as above is not to be disseminated outside the Bureau. He further recalls he was reminded when the content of WFO sirtel of 11/19/63 was imparted to him this was

D1 100-10461

not for dissemination but only for his information and assistance.

SA HOSTY felt it incumbent upon him to advise Mr.

SORRELS of Secret Service, though, that we did have information concerning OSWALD which he was not at liberty to divulge, but which he was certain could and would be released by the Bureau at Washington to Secret Service. SA HOSTY has specific recollection of having told Mr. SORRELS just this, as reflected in Dallas teletype of 12/11/63.

SA HOSTY now knows who Agent PATTERSON of Secret
Service is and recalls he was one of the Secret Service men in
Captain FRITZ's outer office with SORRELS at time above took
place. SA HOSTY did not talk to PATTERSON, and does not believe
he was in position to overhear what he (HOSTY) told SORRELS.

(The information which was the basis for Dallas teletype to Bureau 12/11/63 is in serial $100-10461-\underline{1381}$.) $\mathcal U$

- 5 -